

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR PROVIDING AN OPTIMAL PATH CHOICE FOR DIFFERENTIATED SERVICES

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to computer systems, and more particularly to a method
5 and system for providing a path for a new flow between a source node and a destination
node in a network

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Figure 1 depicts a portion of a conventional network 10. The conventional network
10 includes nodes 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 and 22. The nodes 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 and 22 are
connected by links 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38. Although only six nodes 12, 14, 16, 18,
20 and 22 and eight links 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 are shown, a conventional
network 10 typically includes a larger number of nodes and links. A particular link 24, 26,
28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 is typically designated using the nodes 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 and 22 to
15 which the link 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 is attached. For example, the link 24 is
typically designated as link AB 24 because the link 24 links node A 12 with node B 14.
However, for clarity, the links 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 will merely be designated by
their numbers. Typically, users of the network have computer systems (not explicitly shown)
that are coupled with one of the nodes 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 and 22. The nodes 12, 14, 16, 18,
20 and 22 may thus be routers for the network 10.

Each link 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 is used to allow traffic to flow through the
network 10 between the nodes 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 and 22. Traffic travels through the network

in flows. A flow can be viewed as a time sequence of datagrams from one input to one output. The input will be termed a source node while the output will be called the destination node. The sequence of links and nodes used in a flow is the path of the flow. Each flow typically passes through multiple nodes 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 or 22 and links 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34 and 36 between the source node and destination node. Each link 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34 and 36 includes multiple flows. In addition each link 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34 and 36 has a particular capacity, depicted in Figure 1. For example, the capacity of the link 24 is five megabits per second ("Mb/sec"), while the capacity of the link 26 is six Mb/sec. One criterion for traffic from through the network 10 is that the bandwidths for the flows through a particular link 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34 and 36 not exceed the capacity of the link 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34 and 36. The bandwidth of a flow is the rate of the flow (generally somehow averaged over time) in bits per second through a particular component of the network 10.

In order to route data between different users of the network 10, a path through the network 10 must be selected. For example, suppose a user having a computer system coupled to the node A 12 sends a message to a user having a computer system coupled to the node F 16. Thus, node A 12 is the source node (node at which the message originates), while node F is the destination node (node at which the message is desired to terminate). There are many different paths through the network 10 that such a message could take. For example, the message could go from node A 12 through link 24 to node B 14, then through link 30 to node F. Similarly, the message could go from node A 12 through link 26 to node C 18, through link 34 to node E 22, through link 32, ending at node F 16. There are other possible paths for a message to take between the source node A 12 and the destination node F 16.

In order to select a path from the possible paths, a conventional routing protocol, or

path selection method, is typically used. Figure 2 depicts a conventional method 50 for selecting the path. The conventional method 50 uses a conventional open shortest path first ("OSPF") routing protocol. OSPF was developed for Internet protocol ("IP") networks by the interior gateway protocol ("IGP") for the Internet Engineering Task Force ("IETF") (see IETF RFC 1247). Currently, different versions of OSPF are used in conventional routing. The shortest path in OSPF is the path that has the minimum cost. The path having the minimum cost is the path through links having the largest available bandwidth. In addition, OSPF and the conventional method 50 use Dijkstra's algorithm, which computes a running cost for different alternate paths simultaneously. The path having the minimum cost is selected for transmission of data from the source node to the destination node.

Thus in the conventional method 50, a first node, or source node, is considered to be the current node, via step 52. The cost for each link coupled to the current node is calculated. To do so, the sum of the actual bandwidths for flows currently through each of the links coupled to the current node is calculated, via step 54. Thus, step 54 calculates the amount of traffic through each of the links coupled with the current node. The available bandwidth for each link coupled to the current node is then determined, via step 56. The available bandwidth for a link is the capacity of the link minus the sum of the bandwidths of flows currently or recently through the link. Thus, the available bandwidth is a measure of the space that a link currently has available to accommodate another flow. The link having the greatest available bandwidth is the link which has the lowest cost. Therefore, step 54 and 56 determine the link having the lowest cost. The link which has the lowest cost is then selected to be part of the path, via step 58. It is then determined whether the destination node has been reached, via step 60. If the destination node has not been reached, then the

node at the other end of the link selected in step 58 is designated as the current node, via step 62. The method 50 then determined to step 54. If, however, the destination now has been reached, then the path is defined as the path which includes all the links selected in step 58 and the corresponding nodes.

5 For example, referring to Figures 1 and 2, suppose a path between the node A 12 and node F 16 is decided to be determined. The suppose that each of the links 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 is currently carrying a total of 4 Mb/sec for flows that are already existing. Thus, for ease of explanation, each of the links 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 is assumed the carrying the same amount of traffic. However, typically this is not the case. Using OSPF
10 of the conventional method 50, the node A 12 and is the first current node designated step 52. The sum of the actual bandwidths for flows for each of the links 24 and 26 is 4 Mb/sec. The links 26 and 24 have capacities of six and five Mb/sec, respectively. Thus, the available bandwidth for the link 26 is two Mb/sec while the available bandwidth for the link 24 is one Mb/sec. Thus, the link 26 will be selected as part of the path. Because the destination is not
15 been reached, the node C 18 is designated as the current node. When the steps 54 through 56 are performed for the links 28, 34 and 36, the link having the highest available bandwidth will be determined to be the link 34. Thus, the link 34 will be part of the path. Because the destination has not yet been reached, the node E 22 will be designated as the current node. The link having the highest available bandwidth from node E 22 is the link 32. Thus, the
20 path from the node A 12 to the node F 16 is through link 26 to node C 18, then through link 34 to node E 22, then through the link 32 to the destination node F 16.

A relatively new development in managing traffic through network is DiffServ. DiffServ is an emerging IETF standard for providing differentiated services (see IETF RFC

2475 and related RFCs). Differentiated services are also described by RFC 2212
Specification of Guaranteed Quality of Service. S. Shenker, C. Partridge, R. Guerin.
September 1997. (Format: TXT=52330 bytes) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD), RFC
2474 Definition of the Differentiated Services Field (DS Field) in the IPv4 and IPv6
5 Headers. K. Nichols, S. Blake, F. Baker, D. Black. December 1998. (Format: TXT=50576
bytes) (Obsoletes RFC1455, RFC1349) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD), RFC 2475 An
Architecture for Differentiated Service. S. Blake, D. Black, M. Carlson, E. Davies, Z. Wang,
W. Weiss. December 1998. (Format: TXT=94786 bytes) (Status: INFORMATIONAL), RFC
2750 RSVP Extensions for Policy Control. S. Herzog. January 2000. (Format: TXT=26379
10 bytes) (Updates RFC2205) (Status: PROPOSED STANDARD), RFC 2983 Differentiated
Services and Tunnels. D. Black. October 2000. (Format: TXT=35644 bytes) (Status:
INFORMATIONAL) and related RFCs. Differentiated services allow different flows to be
provided with varying service. For example, a client may pay to have some guaranteed
minimum amount of bandwidth (relatively expensive per bit per second) and some
15 additional bandwidth if available up to a maximum rate (relatively inexpensive per bit per
second). Thus, DiffServ allows a minimum guaranteed bandwidth to be set for each flow.

OSPF results in a path between the source node and the destination node even when
differentiated services are provided. However, one of ordinary skill in the art will readily
realize that the conventional method 50 does not take into account some of information used
20 in providing differentiated services. Instead, paths are allocated to the based only on the
traffic currently were recently through a particular link. The paths would thus be allocated
without accounting for the minimum guaranteed bandwidths for existing flows already
through the network 10. Thus, the path determined using the conventional method 50 when

differentiated services are allowed may not be a path which allocates bandwidth in an optimal manner. For example, a path for a flow having a particular minimum guaranteed bandwidth might not include certain links because these links have a high current or recent flow. The large current or recent flow may be due to other flows which have high current bandwidths (for example because there was a large amount of excess bandwidth in the link that was allocated to the flows), but low minimum guaranteed bandwidths. Because the link is not included in the path, bandwidth in the link may not be allocated to the new flow even though it might be desirable to do so.

Accordingly, what is needed is a system and method for allocating bandwidth in links and, therefore, determining paths in a manner which better accounts for differentiated services. The present invention addresses such a need.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a method and system for providing a path for a new flow between a source node and a destination node in a network. The network has a plurality of nodes and a plurality of links between the nodes. The nodes include the source node and the destination node. Each of the links is capable of including a plurality of existing flows and has a capacity. Each of the existing flows has a minimum guaranteed bandwidth that is preferably positive. A new path is to be found for a new flow with a positive or zero minimum guaranteed bandwidth. The method and system comprise determining a benefit for each link of a portion of the plurality of links coupled with a node of the plurality of nodes. The benefit is determined based on the capacity of the link and the minimum guaranteed bandwidth for a portion of the plurality of existing flows that is through the link, the node

being a part of the path. The method and system also comprise selecting a link of the portion of the plurality of links to be part of the path. The link has a maximum benefit for the first portion of the plurality of links and is coupled the node with a second node of the plurality of nodes.

5 According to the system and method disclosed herein, the present invention provides a path for a new flow that accounts for minimum guaranteed bandwidths for existing flows. Thus, a more optimal path through the network can be selected.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

10 Figure 1 is a block diagram of a conventional network.

 Figure 2 depicts a flow chart of a conventional method for selecting a new path.

 Figure 3 depicts a high-level flow chart of one embodiment of a method for selecting a link to be part of the path in accordance with the present invention.

15 Figure 4 is a more detailed flow chart of one embodiment of a method in accordance with the present invention for selecting a new path for providing a new path.

 Figure 5 is a block diagram of one embodiment of a system in accordance with the present invention for selecting a new path.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

20 The present invention relates to an improvement in routing of traffic through a network. The following description is presented to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention and is provided in the context of a patent application and its requirements. Various modifications to the preferred embodiment will be readily apparent to

those skilled in the art and the generic principles herein may be applied to other embodiments. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the embodiment shown, but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features described herein.

5 The present invention provides a method and system for providing a path for a new flow between a source node and a destination node in a network. The network has a plurality of nodes and a plurality of links between the nodes. The plurality of nodes includes the source node and the destination node. Each of the links is capable of including a plurality of existing flows and has a capacity. Each of the flows has a minimum guaranteed bandwidth that is preferably positive. The method and system comprise determining a benefit for each link of a portion of the plurality of links coupled with a node of the plurality of nodes. The benefit is determined based on the capacity of the link and the minimum guaranteed bandwidth for a portion of the plurality of existing flows that is through the link, the node being a part of the path. The method and system also comprise selecting a link of the portion of the plurality of links to be part of the path for the new flow. The link has a maximum benefit for the first portion of the plurality of links and is coupled the node with a second node of the plurality of nodes.

10 The present invention will be described in terms of a particular network having certain components. However, one of ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize that this method and system will operate effectively for other networks having other constituents. In addition, the present invention will be described in the context of a particular algorithm. However, one of ordinary skill in the art will readily realize that the present invention is consistent with other algorithms.

20 To more particularly illustrate the method and system in accordance with the present

invention, refer now to Figure 3, depicting a high-level flow chart of one embodiment of a method 100 in accordance with the present invention for selecting a link for a new path in a network. The network in which the method 100 is used can be the network 10 depicted in Figure 1. Moreover, the method 100 can be used when differentiated services, and more particularly, DiffServ, are provided in the network. Thus, flows already through the links 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 have at least some sort of a minimum guaranteed bandwidth and may have a maximum guaranteed bandwidth. In a preferred embodiment, the method 100 treats flows having a positive minimum guaranteed bandwidth, ignoring flow having a lower (e.g. zero) minimum guaranteed bandwidth. However, the method 100 could explicitly treat flows having zero minimum guaranteed bandwidth. In addition, the flows already through each link have a current bandwidth. For example, a particular flow (not explicitly shown) may have a minimum guaranteed bandwidth in addition to a current or recent actual bandwidth through a particular link. The method 100 provides a path for a new flow, which may have a minimum guaranteed flow that is greater than or equal to zero.

For the links coupled to a node, a benefit for each link is determined, via step 102. The benefit for a link is based on the minimum guaranteed bandwidth for each existing flow through the link and the capacity of the link. Preferably, the benefit is the capacity of the link minus the sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidths for each existing flow through the link. A link having the maximum benefit is selected as being part of the path based on a maximum benefit for the links, via step 104. The maximum benefit is preferably the highest benefit for the links. The link selected in step 104 couples the node with a second node in the network. Consequently, the method 100 can be repeated for the second node in order to select another link having a maximum benefit to a third node. Thus, the method 100 can be

repeated so that a path from the source node to the destination node is provided through links having a maximum benefit. Thus, the new path provided for the new flow has a maximum benefit. Note that in one embodiment, the method 100 could also determine whether the bandwidth on the new path is sufficient for the minimum guaranteed bandwidth of the new flow and take appropriate action if sufficient bandwidth is not available.

In a preferred embodiment, the path is selected based on Dijkstra's algorithm. Thus, Figure 4 depicts a preferred embodiment of a method 150 in accordance with the present invention. In a preferred embodiment, the method 150 treats flows having a positive minimum guaranteed bandwidth, ignoring flow having a lower (e.g. zero) minimum guaranteed bandwidth. However, the method 150 could explicitly treat flows having zero minimum guaranteed bandwidth without substantially changing the method 150 or the resulting path. The method 150 preferably starts with the source node being included as a node of the path and, therefore, as a processed node. Assuming that the net benefit, described below, is initially set to be infinite. A set of links having one node that has been processed and one node that has not been processed is defined, via step 152. A node which is processed is one that is coupled to a link that has been included in the path and is thus in the path. It is determined whether the set of links is empty, via step 154. If so, then a message indicating that no path can be found, via step 156. The method then terminates. Otherwise, the benefit for each of the links in the set is determined, via step 158. The benefit for a link is the capacity of the link minus the sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidth for each of the existing flows through the link. The link with the maximum benefit is then determined, via step 160. A net benefit for the path is then defined as the minimum benefit for each of the links in the path, via step 162. Step 162 is preferably performed by taking the minimum

of a current net benefit for the path and the benefit for the link having the maximum benefit just found. The link having the maximum benefit, determined in step 160, and the nodes at either end of the link are then included in the path, via step 164. It is then determined whether the destination node has been reached, via step 166. If so, then a message indicating the path has been found is provided, via step 168. The method 150 then terminates. If the destination node has not been reached, then the node for the link determined in step 160 not previously in the path is marked as a processed node and, therefore, as part of a path, via step 170. The method then returns to step 152.

For example, referring to Figures 1 and 4, suppose a path is to be provided between the source node A 12 and the destination node F 16. Also suppose that all of the links 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 currently carry four Mb/sec. In step 152 the links which are coupled to the node A 12 are placed into a set of links to be processed. These links are links 24 and 26. The set of links is not empty, so the benefit for the links 24 and 26 is calculated in step 158. Suppose that the sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidths for the flows already through the link 26 is four Mb/sec and that the sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidths for the flows already through the link 24 is two Mb/sec. The benefit for the link 26 is thus two Mb/sec, while the benefit for the link 24 is three Mb/sec. Thus, the link 24 is determined to be the link with the maximum benefit in step 160. The net benefit for the path is then set at the benefit for link 24, three Mb/sec, in step 162 because the net benefit was initially set at infinity. The link 24 and the nodes A 12 and B 14 are thus included in the path in step 164. The node B 14 is marked as processed in step 170. The method then returns to step 152.

A new set of links, which includes the links 26, 28 and 30, is determined in step 152. Because the set is not empty, the benefit for each of the links 26, 28 and 30 is determined.

The benefit for the link 26 may still be two Mb/sec. Assume that the sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidths for flows already through the links 28 and 30 is zero. This is true even though, as discussed above, the links 28 and 30 each currently carry four Mb/sec. Thus, the benefit for the links 28 and 30 is five Mb/sec and six Mb/sec, respectively. The link having the maximum benefit is thus the link 30. The net benefit for the path, however, is still three Mb/sec because the previous net benefit is smaller than the benefit for the link 30. The link 30 and the corresponding node F 16 is included in the path. Note that the node B 14 was already in the path. Because the node F is the destination node, the method 150 would then end. Thus, the optimal path, having the maximum benefit, is through links 24 and 30. Note that this path is different from the path determined by the conventional method 50 using OSPF under similar conditions, as described above with respect to Figures 1 and 2.

Referring back to Figure 4, the path selected for the new flow has a bandwidth optimized in terms of existing minimum guaranteed bandwidths, not current actual traffic, which might be handled using conventional OSPF.

Referring to Figures 1, 3 and 4, the path having the largest benefit is selected using the method 100 and the method 150. This path is selected taking into account the minimum guaranteed bandwidths of flows already in the network 10. Thus, the path selected by the methods 100 and 150 is an optimal path that can account for differentiated services being provided in the network 10. Thus, the methods 100 and 150 can provide a path which, link by link, has a bandwidth greater than or equal to a path selected using conventional OSPF as in the method 50. Thus, the methods 100 and 150 select a path for a new flow that has a bandwidth optimized for minimum guaranteed bandwidths instead of being based on current bandwidths. Furthermore, the methods 100 and 150 may result in a more predictable

allocation of bandwidth. In addition, when the methods 100 and 150 are combined with other mechanisms for allocating bandwidth, the flows can be increased above the minimum guaranteed bandwidth or decreased to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth based on available bandwidth in the link.

5 Figure 5 depicts one embodiment of a system 200 in accordance with the present invention for selecting a new path for a new flow. Preferably, the methods 100 and 150 are algorithms run by a processor. The system 200 is also capable of performing the methods 100 and 150. Thus, the system 200 includes first logic 202, second logic 204 and a memory 206. Note that the first logic 204 and second logic 206 could simply be included in a
10 processor. The first logic 202 determines the benefit for each link. The second logic 204 selects the link having the maximum benefit for the path based on the benefits determined by the first logic. The memory 206 is capable of storing information about the path, such as the nodes and/or links that are part of the path. The memory 206 also stores information used by the first logic 202 and the second logic 204.

15 A method and system has been disclosed for providing new paths through a network having differentiated services. Software written according to the present invention is to be stored in some form of computer-readable medium, such as memory, CD-ROM or transmitted over a network, and executed by a processor. Consequently, a computer-readable medium is intended to include a computer readable signal which, for example, may be transmitted over a
20 network. Although the present invention has been described in accordance with the embodiments shown, one of ordinary skill in the art will readily recognize that there could be variations to the embodiments and those variations would be within the spirit and scope of the present invention. Accordingly, many modifications may be made by one of ordinary

2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056 2057 2058 2059 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 2068 2069 2070 2071 2072 2073 2074 2075 2076 2077 2078 2079 2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085 2086 2087 2088 2089 2090 2091 2092 2093 2094 2095 2096 2097 2098 2099 2100 2101 2102 2103 2104 2105 2106 2107 2108 2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116 2117 2118 2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125 2126 2127 2128 2129 2130 2131 2132 2133 2134 2135 2136 2137 2138 2139 2140 2141 2142 2143 2144 2145 2146 2147 2148 2149 2150 2151 2152 2153 2154 2155 2156 2157 2158 2159 2160 2161 2162 2163 2164 2165 2166 2167 2168 2169 2170 2171 2172 2173 2174 2175 2176 2177 2178 2179 2180 2181 2182 2183 2184 2185 2186 2187 2188 2189 2190 2191 2192 2193 2194 2195 2196 2197 2198 2199 2200 2201 2202 2203 2204 2205 2206 2207 2208 2209 2210 2211 2212 2213 2214 2215 2216 2217 2218 2219 2220 2221 2222 2223 2224 2225 2226 2227 2228 2229 2230 2231 2232 2233 2234 2235 2236 2237 2238 2239 2240 2241 2242 2243 2244 2245 2246 2247 2248 2249 2250 2251 2252 2253 2254 2255 2256 2257 2258 2259 2260 2261 2262 2263 2264 2265 2266 2267 2268 2269 2270 2271 2272 2273 2274 2275 2276 2277 2278 2279 2280 2281 2282 2283 2284 2285 2286 2287 2288 2289 2290 2291 2292 2293 2294 2295 2296 2297 2298 2299 2300 2301 2302 2303 2304 2305 2306 2307 2308 2309 2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315 2316 2317 2318 2319 2320 2321 2322 2323 2324 2325 2326 2327 2328 2329 2330 2331 2332 2333 2334 2335 2336 2337 2338 2339 2340 2341 2342 2343 2344 2345 2346 2347 2348 2349 2350 2351 2352 2353 2354 2355 2356 2357 2358 2359 2360 2361 2362 2363 2364 2365 2366 2367 2368 2369 2370 2371 2372 2373 2374 2375 2376 2377 2378 2379 2380 2381 2382 2383 2384 2385 2386 2387 2388 2389 2390 2391 2392 2393 2394 2395 2396 2397 2398 2399 2400 2401 2402 2403 2404 2405 2406 2407 2408 2409 2410 2411 2412 2413 2414 2415 2416 2417 2418 2419 2420 2421 2422 2423 2424 2425 2426 2427 2428 2429 2430 2431 2432 2433 2434 2435 2436 2437 2438 2439 2440 2441 2442 2443 2444 2445 2446 2447 2448 2449 2450 2451 2452 2453 2454 2455 2456 2457 2458 2459 2460 2461 2462 2463 2464 2465 2466 2467 2468 2469 2470 2471 2472 2473 2474 2475 2476 2477 2478 2479 2480 2481 2482 2483 2484 2485 2486 2487 2488 2489 2490 2491 2492 2493 2494 2495 2496 2497 2498 2499 2500 2501 2502 2503 2504 2505 2506 2507 2508 2509 2510 2511 2512 2513 2514 2515 2516 2517 2518 2519 2520 2521 2522 2523 2524 2525 2526 2527 2528 2529 2530 2531 2532 2533 2534 2535 2536 2537 2538 2539 2540 2541 2542 2543 2544 2545 2546 2547 2548 2549 2550 2551 2552 2553 2554 2555 2556 2557 2558 2559 2560 2561 2562 2563 2564 2565 2566 2567 2568 2569 2570 2571 2572 2573 2574 2575 2576 2577 2578 2579 2580 2581 2582 2583 2584 2585 2586 2587 2588 2589 2590 2591 2592 2593 2594 2595 2596 2597 2598 2599 2600 2601 2602 2603 2604 2605 2606 2607 2608 2609 2610 2611 2612 2613 2614 2615 2616 2617 2618 2619 2620 2621 2622 2623 2624 2625 2626 2627 2628 2629 2630 2631 2632 2633 2634 2635 2636 2637 2638 2639 2640 2641 2642 2643 2644 2645 2646 2647 2648 2649 2650 2651 2652 2653 2654 2655 2656 2657 2658 2659 2660 2661 2662 2663 2664 2665 2666 2667 2668 2669 2670 2671 2672 2673 2674 2675 2676 2677 2678 2679 2680 2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692 2693 2694 2695 2696 2697 2698 2699 2700 2701 2702 2703 2704 2705 2706 2707 2708 2709 2710 2711 2712 2713 2714 2715 2716 2717 2718 2719 2720 2721 2722 2723 2724 2725 2726 2727 2728 2729 2730 2731 2732 2733 2734 2735 2736 2737 2738 2739 2740 2741 2742 2743 2744 2745 2746 2747 2748 2749 2750 2751 2752 2753 2754 2755 2756 2757 2758 2759 2760 2761 2762 2763 2764 2765 2766 2767 2768 2769 2770 2771 2772 2773 2774 2775 2776 2777 2778 2779 2780 2781 2782 2783 2784 2785 2786 2787 2788 2789 2790 2791 2792 2793 2794 2795 2796 2797 2798 2799 2800 2801 2802 2803 2804 2805 2806 2807 2808 2809 2810 2811 2812 2813 2814 2815 2816 2817 2